from: derrick jenkins

(ase # 502018 (a 000 254XXXX MB

howard coates jr

WARFL 33401 motice

1. you trespassed on my claim

2. you moved in error

3. i require you to recuse yourself from my case

4. i shall demand compensation from you in federal court for violating my constitutional rights.

1. you trespassed on my claim by interferring with me getting justice.

my claim remains unanswered and unchallenged.

you moved in error due to the fact that i was in common law especially after you stated out of your mouth that this is a court of law and a court of record which makes you independent of the tribunal.

you never proved you have and/or had jurisdiction.

i never gave you consent to make a ruling or judgement nor hold a bench trial.

3. i require you to recuse yourself from my case due to the fact you are mirroring similar actions of that of meenu sasser whom recused herself from my case earlier and have a record for being disqualified due to her actions. see perrotto v rj reynolds by attorney scott schlesinger.

failure to recuse yourself will force me to have you disqualified due to me and michael kenniston allegedly heard you say you're ready to be entertained which shocked us because you waited for the white woman with her recorder turned off to leave area and right before the black woman turned on her recorder you allegedly made that comment for us to hear.

what did you mean by ready to be entertained.?

did you mean you were ready to be sexually entertained?

did you mean you wanted me to paint my face black and act like a black face minstrel?

did you mean if me and michael kenniston cant find a way to entertain you this would lead to dismissal with prejudice.

Creus Cont Elle Citonii Indae Casies' A. Cas

you opened these doors of emotion that created distrust.

÷

4

see FREDERICK J. KEITEL, III., and FJK IV PROPERTIES, INC. according to case where meenus sasser was alleged inability to rest ther her utterances or her emotions in front of the petitioner would, if true, show that the experience profoundly affected her and made her future impartiality reasonably suspect.

the judge allegedly opened the door and displayed the depth of such hostility by failing to remain silent despite passage of time.

i beleive i didnt receive a fair and impartial review of my claim due to howard coates ir being incompetent.

i've written you via process server to remind you that you are a public servant and can be held liable for harming me and i stated you have an oath and bond earlier before my conference.

i believe this angered you and caused you to retaliate after you allegedly stated you're ready to be entertained for me and michael kenniston to hear.

what did you mean by ready to be entertained.?

did you mean you were ready to be sexually entertained?

did you mean you wanted me to paint my face black and act like a black face minstrel?

did you mean if me and michael kenniston cant find a way to entertain you this would lead to dismissal with prejudice.

you opened these doors of emotion that created distrust.

on the day of conference i gave you my claim from the time i filled my suit which had the 5 w's along with exhibits

document requring you to answer maybe 6 questions of which you answered 2 on the record

document challenging you

document proving claim

document proving claim which led up to why i was suing wrongdoer which i havent seen you put on record after receiving from me to you via court bailiff

i shall demand compensation from you in federal court for violating my constitutional rights.

article 6 clause 2

The Supremacy Clause of the United States Constitution (Article VI, Clause 2) establishes that the Constitution, federal laws made pursuant to it, and treaties made under its authority, constitute the supreme law of the land [1] It provides that state courts are bound by the supreme law; in case of conflict between federal and state law, the federal law must be applied. Even state constitutions are subordinate to federal law amendment 7 The Seventh Amendment states: "In Suits at common law, where the value in controversy shall exceed twenty dollars, the right of trial by jury shall be preserved, and no fact tried by a jury, shall be otherwise re-examined in any Court of the United States, than according to the rules of the common law." judge coates allegedly asked me to show him the florida law that says i have the right to be compensated for my time being wasted even though my suit demands trial by jury due to distrust of these fake hypocritical judges, magistrates, court clerks, public servants etc. didn't meenu sasser sue st marys hospital in 2002 at the 15th judicial for alleged time energy and resource wasted which led to the court restoring her property? hypocrit and unfit to serve as judge for the circuit, appellate, and/or howard coates jr you are a supreme. this record will follow you and i hope everyone viewing this public document make careful desicions when selecting or electing you. im not saying im more important than others but i cant believe a military vet like yourself over a decorated united states war vet like me. the miliary taught us to fight for our constitutional rights and when i presented you with constitutional articles 6 section 2 and amendment 7 in my suit: you asked me to show you the florida law that says i have the right to be compensated for my time being wasted and left out that im suing a man whom acted as a corrupt deputy and a man acted as a incompetent sheriff for 500million plus which is well with in artcle 6 section 2 and amendment 7 leaves me disgusted with you. everyone can look in the newspapers and see meenu sasser got justice for her time energy and resources being wasted along with her property being restored thanks to article 6 section 2 and amendment 7 of the united states constitution which you swore to uphold in your oath, dont forget you have a bond just incase you break that oath. i will hold you personally liable as a man and you're not immune to jack cant wait til the voters wake up and get rid of these clowns you call judges, magistrates, court clerks, etc. most of these failures cant get elected to office even if their lives depended on it. note: i demand a investigation this man acting as judge mirrors similar actions of meenu sasser which has led me to believe 15th judicial trial court aministrator doesnt have a clue to whom is assigned to my case considering past trial court adminitrator allegedly assigned st marys hospital case to meenu sasser knowing damn well they allegedly murdered her love one.

i demand a

investigation.